

# STUDENT FEEDBACK ANALYSIS REPORT

## FOR (A.Y.2021-22)

(End of the Semester)

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Student's feedback is taken for all batches at the mid semester and end of the semester and by the Batch-in-Charge to get a student perspective on the requirements in the class, subject and the curriculum in general as it helps the faculty to design their teaching methods in a way that can be more helpful and beneficial to students as well as it helps the organization to better plan the subjects offered, faculties to be allotted, add on trainings to be given besides getting their views on the different departments of the organization.

### 2. METHOD

The feedback was taken by circulating a Google form with all students at the end of the Semester conducted for batch 2021-22

Through the feedback form thus circulated each student was asked to rate each faculty that taught him/her during the Semester on a scale of 1 to 4 on the following parameters:

1. Teaching Methodology
2. Language/Voice Clarity
3. Behavior with Students
4. Interaction with students during the class
5. Use of business relevant concepts while explaining concepts

The students were asked to rate the faculty on above parameters on a scale of 1 to 4. For better clarity and uniformity in responses the students were given what each number on the scale denote for the surveyor. The detailed scale is given below:

- 1 for Poor
- 2 for Average
- 3 for Good
- 4 for Very Good

**3. SAMPLE FORM:** You can find question as seen by the students in the picture below.

4

3

2

1

Behavior with the s...


Teaching methodo...

Language and voic...


Interactive Classes

Concept Clarity An...


**Dr. KULKARNI SANJEEV:** With overall rating of 3.34 Dr. KULKARNI SANJEEV was well received by the students. Dr. KULKARNI SANJEEV was rated **good** by the student community.




**Dr. MUKHOPADHAY RIDDHIMAN:** With overall rating of 3.38 Dr. MUKHOPADHAY RIDDHIMAN sir was well received by the students. Dr. MUKHOPADHAY RIDDHIMAN was rated **good** by the student community.




**Dr. PRASAD POORNA CHANDRA:** With overall rating of 3.29 Dr. PRASAD POORNA CHANDRA sir was well received by the students. Dr. PRASAD POORNA CHANDRA sir was rated **good** by the student community.




**Dr. NANDRE VINAY BHATU:** With overall rating of 3.34 Dr. NANDRE VINAY BHATU sir was well received by the students. Dr. NANDRE VINAY BHATU sir was rated **good** by the student community.




**Mr. BHAT PRASAD:** With overall rating of 3.36 Mr. BHAT PRASAD sir was well received by the students. Mr. BHAT PRASAD sir was rated **good** by the student community.




**Ms. Neelima Khaladkar:** With overall rating of 3.27 Ms. Neelima Khaladkar was well received by the students. Ms. Neelima Khaladkar was rated **good** by the student community.




**Ms. SEHRAWAT POORNIMA:** With overall rating of 3.27 Ms. SEHRAWAT POORNIMA sir was well received by the students. Ms. SEHRAWAT POORNIMA was rated **good** by the student community.




**Mrs. GETHE RAJASHREE KARBHARI:** With overall rating of 3.34 Mrs. GETHE RAJASHREE KARBHARI was well received by the students. Mrs. GETHE RAJASHREE KARBHARI was rated **good** by the student community.




**Mr. SAMIR RANJAN MONDAL:** With overall rating of 3.35 Mr. SAMIR RANJAN MONDAL sir was well received by the students. Mr. SAMIR RANJAN MONDAL was rated **good** by the student community.




**Ms. SHWETA BORSE:** With overall rating of 3.61 Ms. SHWETA BORSE was well received by the students. Ms. SHWETA BORSE was rated **Good to very Good** by the student community.



**Ms. PRIYANKA SHARMA:** With overall rating of 3.30 Ms. PRIYANKA SHARMA was well received by the students. Ms. PRIYANKA SHARMA was rated **good** by the student community.




**Mr. KUMAR MRITYUNJAY:** With overall rating of 3.19 Mr. KUMAR MRITYUNJAY was well received by the students. Mr. KUMAR MRITYUNJAY was rated **good** by the student community.







**Mr. NIKHIL NAINANI:** With overall rating of 3.17 Mr. NIKHIL NAINANI was well received by the students. Mr. NIKHIL NAINANI was rated **good** by the student community.




**Mr. MOHIT DIVE:** With overall rating of 3.58 Mr. MOHIT DIVE was well received by the students. Mr. MOHIT DIVE was rated **good** by the student community.




**Mr. KINSHUK SETH:** With overall rating of 3.41 Mr. KINSHUK SETH was well received by the students. Mr. KINSHUK SETH was rated **good** by the student community.




**Mr. NAVEEN KUMAR PANDEY:** With overall rating of 3.38 Mr. NAVEEN KUMAR PANDEY was well received by the students. Mr. NAVEEN KUMAR PANDEY was rated **good** by the student community.




**Ms. FATEMA ABBAS:** With overall rating of 3.55 Ms. FATEMA ABBAS was well received by the students. Ms. FATEMA ABBAS was rated **good** by the student community.




**Mr. SUBHAJIT SUR:** With overall rating of 3.37 Mr. SUBHAJIT SUR was well received by the students. Mr. SUBHAJIT SUR was rated **good** by the student community.



**Mr. SIDHARTH DHANUKA:** With overall rating of Pro 3.19. Mr. SIDHARTH DHANUKA sir was well received by the students. Mr. SIDHARTH DHANUKA was rated **good** by the student community.



**Mr. PRATEEK RAJ:** With overall rating of 3.42 Mr. PRATEEK RAJ was well received by the students. Mr. PRATEEK RAJ was rated **good** by the student community.



#### 4. Findings:

As PIBM focuses on students' overall growth and developing their competencies so that they can perform well in the professional world. To ensure the same, PIBM follows a rigorous recruitment process and faculty finalization for the subjects, but students' feedbacks help faculty and the institute to understand points of improvement in terms of different aspects of teaching and training.

As the Mid Sem Feedback helps to understand students' requirements of Remedial sessions and all other requirements to understand the subject better, Mid Sem feedback is required. The overall average rating is 3.34 out of 4 which is good and provides us the clarity that the respected faculty taught them well in the class.

